GARY JOHN DMOCH & ASSOCIATES Gary J. Dmoch (GD9667) 171-22 Northern Boulevard Flushing, NY 11358 Telephone: 718-939-4444

Facsimile: 718-445-2520

Attorneys for Defendant ODESSA RESTAURANT, INC.

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

CLEMENTE DELGADO,

Plaintiffs,

CIVIL ACTION NO. 06-5129 (RJH)

v.

ODESSA RESTAURANT, INC.,

Defendants.

ANSWER AND JURY DEMAND

The Defendant, **ODESSA RESTAURANT**, **INC.**, by counsel, for its answer to Plaintiffs' complaint, states as follows:

#### **COMPLAINT**

1. Defendant denies the allegations made in paragraphs "1" through "4" of the complaint.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. Defendant denies the allegations made in paragraphs "5" through "9" of the complaint.

#### COUNT 1

3. Defendant denies the allegations made in paragraphs "11" through "13" of the complaint.

#### COUNT II

4. Defendant denies the allegations made in paragraphs "15" through "20" of the complaint.

#### FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

5. The complaint fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against Defendant.

#### SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

6. The Plaintiff lacks standing to sue.

## THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

7. This Court lacks personal jurisdiction over the answering Defendant in that service of process is defective and not in conformity with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

## FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

8. This Court lacks jurisdiction pursuant to FLSA over the answering Defendant in that the Defendant is not an entity with gross earnings in excess of \$500,000.00.

## FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

9. This Court lacks jurisdiction pursuant to FLSA since Plaintiff has not filed and complied with the pre-requisites to commence an action under the FLSA.

## SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

10. The complaint fails to set forth a cause of action upon which relief may be granted in that Plaintiff was never employed by Defendant in any capacity.

#### SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

11. Plaintiff's complaint is barred by the doctrine of unclean hands.

WHEREFORE, Defendant having appeared and fully answered the Plaintiff's complaint, prays that Plaintiff's complaint be dismissed and that Plaintiff take nothing thereby; prays for judgment against the Plaintiff in an amount to be proven at trial, court costs, and such other and further relief as the Court shall deem just and proper.

#### **DEMAND FOR A JURY**

The Defendant hereby demands a trial by jury.

Date: Flushing, New York August 3, 2006 Yours, etc.,

GARY JOHN DMOCH & ASSOCIATES

By:

Gary J. Dmoch, (GD/8

171-22 Northern/Boulevar Flushing, NY 1358 Telephone: 718-939-4444 Facsimile: 718-445-2520 Attorneys for Defendant

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing Answer and Jury Demand has this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of August, 2006 been sent via c-mail at <a href="mailto:jAZeller@Zellerlegal.com"><u>JAZeller@Zellerlegal.com</u></a> and first class mail to counsel for Plaintiff, Clemente Delgado, at the Law Offices of Justin A. Zeller, P.C., 222 Broadway, 19<sup>th</sup> Floor, New York, New York 10038.

This 3<sup>rd</sup> day of August, 2006.

Gary J. Dmoch